

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 11:42 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: CFR speech

The unconvincing quality of the first CFR speech is painful.

Sandy's point is that the first speech was highly conventional (and a bit pedestrian). This one was cutting edge.

----- Original Message -----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 11:36 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: CFR speech

Are the "transformative effects" painful?

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:SullivanJJ@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 11:34 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: H
Subject: Re: CFR speech

Oh, I know the specifics all too painfully well!

----- Original Message -----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 11:27 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Fw: CFR speech

Interesting. Can you have somebody call him for specifics?

----- Original Message -----

From: Samuel Berger [mailto:]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 10:50 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: H; H2
Subject: CFR speech

Awesome. Reread your first CFR speech 3 years ago -- evolution reflects the transformative effect you have had on US foreign policy/DOS over these four years.

Sandy

B6