

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Koh, Harold Hongju <KohHH@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, April 8, 2012 7:18 PM
To: H
Subject: Re: Possible legislative responses to strip search decision

:-) my in laws loved tumblr. And they are all Republicans!

[Redacted]

See you soon!

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2012 04:49 PM
To: Koh, Harold Hongju
Subject: Re: Possible legislative responses to strip search decision

[Redacted]

Anyway, Happy Easter and I'm glad you're w me in Tumblr--and so much else!

From: Koh, Harold Hongju [mailto:KohHH@state.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 06:26 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Possible legislative responses to strip search decision

[Redacted]

In any case, Happy Easter! Your Tumblr "texts" are making me famous because I am in every picture, sitting behind you on the plane to Libya! ☺

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2012 6:17 PM
To: Koh, Harold Hongju
Subject: Re: Possible legislative responses to strip search decision

Thx. [Redacted]

From: Koh, Harold Hongju [mailto:KohHH@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 03:14 PM
To: H
Subject: Possible legislative responses to strip search decision

As we discussed, this week, in a 5-4 decision, the Supreme Court upheld the use of visual strip searches (without physical contact) for every individual who enters the general population of a detention facility. Under this rule, the Fourth Amendment does not require reasonable suspicion that the detainee is hiding contraband, or

B5

B5

B5

B5

that the detainee is alleged to have committed more than a minor offense, before prison or jail officials conduct such a search. The Department of Justice had filed an amicus brief supporting this result.

As noted by the Chief Justice and Justice Alito, the Court left open the possibility of exceptions, such as where the detainee is to be segregated from the general population. Justice Kennedy's majority opinion, however, appears to rule out a test based on the seriousness of the offense. Justice Breyer, in his four-justice dissent, would have held that a strip search of an individual arrested for a minor offense that does not involve drugs or violence is "unreasonable" unless supported by reasonable suspicion. Critics of the Court's opinion have argued that it embraces a "police-state logic" that seeks to eliminate any and all security risks, without balancing that goal against other values such as privacy, liberty, and anti-discrimination.

[Large redacted area consisting of five stacked rectangular boxes]

B5

review.

[Redacted area]

