

RELEASE IN PART  
B5

**From:** H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 31, 2012 8:39 AM  
**To:** 'SchwerinDB@state.gov'  
**Subject:** Re: UNSC Remarks

Ok

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Schwerin, Daniel B [mailto:SchwerinDB@state.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 31, 2012 08:28 AM  
**To:** Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; H  
**Subject:** Re: UNSC Remarks

On Syria, NEA says the UN's 5,400 figure is the closest we have to an official count, but its a few weeks old so almost certainly low. The LCCs claim the number is more like 7,000 dead, but that's shakier. I would add to the intervention like this:

[Redacted]

Happy to make other changes this morning as needed.

Dan

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sullivan, Jacob J  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 31, 2012 07:38 AM  
**To:** 'HDR22@clintonemail.com' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>; Schwerin, Daniel B  
**Subject:** Re: UNSC Remarks

Really hard to get a good number on Libya. UN Human Rights Council put the number as of June at 10k-15k, but it got complicated as time went by because of claims about NATO-caused casualties. NTC number is 20K-30K total by the end.

[Redacted]

----- Original Message -----

**From:** H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 31, 2012 07:28 AM  
**To:** Sullivan, Jacob J; Schwerin, Daniel B  
**Subject:** UNSC Remarks

NYTimes story this morning states that UN asserts 5400 civilian deaths in Syria thus far. First, is that accepted as accurate? [Redacted]

B5

B5

B5