

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 4:04 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: Second new NYC policy

No response from Howard, no weapons for UK

----- Original Message -----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 12:01 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Second new NYC policy

What's status as of now?

----- Original Message -----

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 10:34 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Second new NYC policy

Third email in furtherance of my initial email for background

----- Original Message -----

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 10:24 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Boswell, Eric J; DiCarlo, Rosemary A (USUN); Doherty, Kathleen A
Cc: Austin-Ferguson, Kathleen T
Subject: Fw: Second new NYC policy

Fyi

----- Original Message -----

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 10:20 PM
To: 'mtiven' [redacted] <mtiven [redacted]>
Subject: Second new NYC policy

Marjorie

Earlier today, following up on our discussion as promised, I sent you a letter outlining our concerns about the proposed new NYC policy limiting foreign security personnel to five weapons, and confirming that this proposal was never approved by either Diplomatic Security or the Secret Service. And reiterating the dangerous conditions this will create overseas from reciprocal action.

Subsequently I have learned that there is a second new proposed policy that you never mentioned in our discussion, nor has anyone on your staff.

It is even more restrictive, giving to NYPD the right to deny any weapons at all solely based on its threat analysis

B6

You can imagine the incredible danger this creates for senior USG official traveling abroad, having to depend solely on the "analysis" of foreign agencies

And it was imposed with no notice and no discussion

What is going on here?

We have always discussed our mutual prospective actions and while we have not always agreed - we have given each other notice and time to react

This second proposed policy is being implemented tomorrow with notice and discussion

I ask that its implementation be stayed so that we can discuss it

The senior foreign visits scheduled for tomorrow must proceed under the procedures in place before this second new proposal was announced

Regards

Pat