

RELEASE IN PART
1.4(B),B1,1.4(D),B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 7:36 AM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Further to P5+ Meeting

FYI. I was wrong. This meeting was in fact in Geneva.

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 11/30/2015 ~ Class:
CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on:
06/12/2027

----- Original Message -----

From: Gottemoeller, Rose E
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 07:11 AM
To: Blake, Robert O; Pyatt, Geoffrey R; Sullivan, Jacob J; Zeya, Uzra S
Cc: Ries, Marcie B; Eberhardt, Jeffrey L; Lacey, Edward
Subject: Further to P5+ Meeting

B1
1.4(B)
1.4(D)

Further to the meeting of the P 5 with India and Pakistan in Geneva on Monday, June 10: please let S know prior to her meeting with her Indian counterpart. Thanks.



We ended with a discussion of next steps, and I suggested several options for a next meeting, including on the margins of the ATT negotiations in NYC in July, in July-August in Geneva, or in early September as we begin to gather for the

UNGA. All agreed that a next meeting should be pursued, although Akram did say that he would have to check back with Islamabad about his attendance.

Most commented that July in NY would be good timing, so we will pursue that as a first priority.

Overall the environment of the meeting was very good, and discussion was cordial. There was no sign of bilateral tension between India and Pakistan. Akram and Mehta interacted in a friendly manner. It was a good start to a process that we hope will become established this summer.

RG

----- Original Message -----

From: Gottemoeller, Rose E
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2012 06:55 AM
To: Blake, Robert O; Pyatt, Geoffrey R; Sullivan, Jacob J; Zeya, Uzra S
Cc: Ries, Marcie B; Eberhardt, Jeffrey L; Lacey, Edward
Subject: Fw: Thank you

We've had a scrap of good news here in Geneva: our P5+ meeting with the Indians and Pakistanis went well yesterday. We have been trying to get this group together since last July, to discuss where we go from here on moving forward a Fissile Material Cut-off Treaty process. The discussion yesterday was serious and all agreed that another meeting this summer would be useful. Further reporting upcoming; will keep you informed. RG

----- Original Message -----

From: Gottemoeller, Rose E
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2012 06:40 AM
To: 'jsdisa [redacted]' <jsdisa [redacted]>
Cc: 'prcd.geneva [redacted]' <prcd.geneva [redacted]>
Subject: Fw: Thank you

Dear Venkat: I know that you will have had a report directly from Sujata, but I wanted to let you know that, from my point of view, the 'atmospherics' yesterday here in Geneva were positive. There was no attempt to exploit bilateral issues or post unwarranted criticism, I am glad to say. I hope to arrive back in Washington in time to participate in some of our high-level bilateral consultations--uncertain because United Airlines cancelled my flight today, alas. If given the chance to say a quiet positive word about our meeting yesterday, I will do so. I hope we will have the chance to meet again before too long.

Yours sincerely,
RG

----- Original Message -----

From: Gottemoeller, Rose E
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2012 06:32 AM
To: 'Jo.Adamson [redacted]' <Jo.Adamson [redacted]>; 'James.Hughes [redacted]' <James.Hughes [redacted]>; 'wu_haitao [redacted]' <wu_haitao [redacted]>; 'Jean-hugues.SIMON-MICHEL [redacted]' <Jean-hugues.SIMON-MICHEL [redacted]>; 'anne.lazar-sury [redacted]' <anne.lazar-sury [redacted]>; 'zimirakram53 [redacted]' <zimirakram53 [redacted]>; 'rus.disarm [redacted]' <rus.disarm [redacted]>; 'prcd.geneva [redacted]' <prcd.geneva [redacted]>; 'shen_jiah [redacted]' <shen_jiah [redacted]>; 'gvb50 [redacted]' <gvb50 [redacted]>
Cc: Kennedy, Laura E; Eberhardt, Jeffrey L; Ries, Marcie B; Gottemoeller, Rose E
Subject: Thank you

Dear colleagues:

B6

Thank you very much for a solid and informative stock-taking yesterday. I thought that the format of informal discussion was very useful, and came away with a clearer sense of the challenges that are facing us as we prepare for the UNGA 1st Committee in four months' time. I will stay in close touch as I meet with different colleagues in the coming week, and also confer with my team in Washington. We will then have a chance to take counsel together about next steps, but I hope we will have another chance to get together before long, perhaps in July.

In the meantime, may I remind you of the confidential nature of our discussions? I think they will be most effective if we keep them informal and close-hold.

Thank you again for an interesting and useful discussion. I expect to be in touch again soon.

Yours sincerely,

RG