

RELEASE IN PART  
B6

---

**From:** Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2012 7:18 PM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** FW: Hadley/Steinberg

FYI

---

**From:** Strobe Talbott [redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2012 4:08 PM  
**To:** Sullivan, Jacob J; Kurtz-Phelan, Daniel  
**Cc:** McAuliffe, Marisa S; John-Michael Arnold; Alexis Bataillon  
**Subject:** Hadley/Steinberg

B6

Steve just called me to report on his phoner with Jim. Steve made a yeomanly but unsuccessful effort to get Jim to attend the meeting, then discussed with Jim how his views on Iran could be reflected. Jim said he'd call me and give me his views over the phone and I'm welcome to pass them along to the group. That's fine by me, although let's see what he has to say and, among ourselves, decide whether it's worth my being the messenger (among other things, it will take up time from people who are actually in attendance, it calls attention to Jim's absence, potentially in both respects creating an undesirable precedent. Then, it turns out, Steve offered up to Jim the idea of *phoning in* for the Iran discussion. I told Steve I found that exceedingly unlikely, given that the whole discussion is classified, and I can't imagine that Jim's got a STU-III (or whatever you folks use these days)—and, once again, even if it were permissible, it could be more disruptive than contributory. Long/short, I promised to pass along the idea, but left Steve with my view that it was highly unlikely.