

RELEASE IN
PART B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 2:55 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: Amelia Earhart Project

Read all the way through

From: Campbell, Kurt M
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 02:08 PM
To: 'Dailey, John' [redacted]; Lieberman, Evelyn [redacted]; pellej [redacted] <pellej [redacted]>
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Loi, James L; Stout, Jennifer P; Jakab, Peter [redacted]; Crouch, Tom [redacted]
Subject: RE: Amelia Earhart Project

B6

Thank you very much for your note General and we appreciated your time this morning. I think it was a very useful meeting and we have taken your good counsel and will focus on the Amelia Earhart legacy, the 75th anniversary, our Pacific legacy, and the spirit of adventure all this conjures, in our event next week. We will also take great care when discussing the expedition – part hopeful but also restrained and very realistic about the challenging odds. And we fully recognize and support the position of your institution.

Again, I want to thank you for being so supportive of a young Navy officer on his first tour in the Pentagon many years ago. It made a difference then and it does today.

Very best wishes and thanks for running the greatest show in Washington. Best regards, Kurt.

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: Dailey, John [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 1:30 PM
To: Campbell, Kurt M; Lieberman, Evelyn; pellej [redacted]
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Loi, James L; Stout, Jennifer P; Jakab, Peter; Crouch, Tom
Subject: RE: Amelia Earhart Project

Kurt,
 Thank you for taking the time to meet with us this morning. The information you provided on the planned event to celebrate the 75th Anniversary of Amelia's flight clarified several issues for us and I hope I was able to adequately explain the purpose of my E-Mail. For the benefit of those who did not attend the meeting but were included on my original message, I want to restate its purpose. When I received the information that members of our Aeronautics Department were going to be invited to the event I was concerned that it would create an opportunity for the press to interview members of our staff, who have well established levels of skepticism about the probable success of such a venture, which would then detract from the celebration of the anniversary and question the credibility of the expedition. The press will probably contact our folks anyway, but I didn't want it to be at the ceremony which is why I was recommending that we not go. It had nothing to do with the value of the expedition nor did I intend to reflect a lack of support for exploration. There is no organization in the world that would be more pleased than ours if they are successful in solving one of the great mysteries of aviation and we wish them the very best of luck.

We were very impressed with the research that has taken place and the level of preparation and technology that has been applied to the planning. There have been some amazing technological breakthroughs in recent years that have opened up new possibilities and we hope that will be the case with this mission. The Smithsonian serves the Nation by ensuring the highest quality of historical and scientific scholarship. A careful degree of skepticism when evaluating all evidence is a crucial component of fulfilling that responsibility. We'll watch with great interest as this latest effort to find Amelia unfolds.

Best Regards,
Jack

o