

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Koh, Harold Hongju <KohHH@state.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 12:59 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Lubanga convicted by ICC
Attachments: Summary of the Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute.pdf

FYI. A major international legal event...

From: Koh, Harold Hongju
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 12:57 PM
To: Carson, Johnnie; Yamamoto, Donald Y; Burns, William J; Zeya, Uzra S; Sherman, Wendy R; Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D; Crocker, Bathsheba N; Hammer, Michael A; Nuland, Victoria J
Cc: Koh, Harold Hongju
Subject: Lubanga convicted by ICC

This morning Trial Chamber I of the International Criminal Court (ICC) announced its judgment in the trial of Congolese militia leader Thomas Lubanga Dyilo. This is a major legal event, as it is the ICC's first judgment of guilt or innocence since the court was established about 10 years ago.

Lubanga, who is 51, was a warlord in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) charged with three counts of war crimes: (1) conscripting children under the age of 15 into an armed group; (2) enlisting children into an armed group; and (3) using children to participate actively in hostilities. Lubanga was present in the courtroom in what appeared to be traditional African dress. Lubanga was first transferred to The Hague in 2006, and has been in detention for some 6 years.

Reading from the bench to packed public galleries, Judge Adrian Fulford said that the Trial Chamber had reached a unanimous judgment that the Prosecutor had proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Lubanga is guilty of (1) conscripting and (2) enlisting children under the age of 15 into armed forces or groups and (3) using them to participate actively in hostilities between September 2002 and April 2003. Judges Odio Benito and Fulford (UK) evidently have issued dissenting opinions on certain issues.

In reaching its judgment, the Chamber concluded with respect to the first two charges, that the evidence showed that Lubanga was "essential to a common plan", entered into with several others, to build an army to maintain political and military control over the Ituri region, and that this plan included the conscription or enlisting of boys and girls under the age of 15 and using them to participate in hostilities. With respect to the third point, the Chamber noted that "participation in hostilities" could encompass children engaged not only on the front lines but those boys and girls engaged in a myriad of supporting roles in the armed forces or group. It determined that Lubanga's militia group was involved in an "internal armed conflict" against Lendu militias in the DRC, and that the armed wing of this militia group was responsible for the widespread recruitment of young people, including boys and girls under the age of 15.

Although he agreed with the Trial Chamber's ultimate conclusion, Judge Fulford admonished the prosecution in certain respects, specifically noting, for example, that the prosecution should not have delegated its investigatory responsibilities to local "intermediaries", and that the inadequately supervised activities of these intermediaries raised doubts about the reliability of certain witnesses, especially since many of them were quite young. He noted that there was sufficient risk that certain intermediaries had induced certain witnesses to provide false testimony. The Chamber also rejected the testimony of three victims who testified in the case as unreliable.

The Trial Chamber will now issue an Order regarding the procedures for sentencing of Lubanga, which will be decided separately by the Chamber. Appeals can be filed thirty days from the judgment (although that begins to run from the date of the French translation of the judgment).

We are studying the judgment to see if any novel points of law were address. In the meantime, attached is a summary of the judgment, signed by the judges.