

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Schwerin, Daniel B <SchwerinDB@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2012 8:29 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; H
Subject: Re: UNSC Remarks

On Syria, NEA says the UN's 5,400 figure is the closest we have to an official count, but its a few weeks old so almost certainly low. The LCCs claim the number is more like 7,000 dead, but that's shakier. I would add to the intervention like this:

[Redacted]

B5

Happy to make other changes this morning as needed.

Dan

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2012 07:38 AM
To: 'HDR22@clintonemail.com' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>; Schwerin, Daniel B
Subject: Re: UNSC Remarks

Really hard to get a good number on Libya. UN Human Rights Council put the number as of June at 10k-15k, but it got complicated as time went by because of claims about NATO-caused casualties. NTC number is 20K-30K total by the end.

[Redacted]

----- Original Message -----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2012 07:28 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Schwerin, Daniel B
Subject: UNSC Remarks

NYTimes story this morning states that UN asserts 5400 civilian deaths in Syria thus far. First, is that accepted as accurate? [Redacted]