

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 09/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B),1.4(D) ~
Declassify on: 10/16/2026

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 12:05 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: FT

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D), B5

It reads oddly since it had to be chopped up. Could we get a chance to submit op ed based on speech but not this kind of choppy version which does disservice to ideas? Also, I think we could use the space to drive debate on ideas like rules-based reciprocity and withiut the "State Departmentese".

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:SullivanJJ@state.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 11:41 AM
To: H
Subject: Re: FT

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Below is draft. In other news, I've left msg and sent email to Tom.

Ban talked to Abbas on UNESCO and



[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Op-ed:

[Redacted]

B5



----- Original Message -----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]

Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 11:03 AM

To: Sullivan, Jacob J

Subject: Re: FT

Good--can I see draft? Any other news to discuss?

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:SullivanJJ@state.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 10:59 AM
To: H
Subject: FT

Looks like FT will run an oped length version of your speech - we're tweaking now.