

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2011 4:22 PM
To: 'mchaleja@state.gov'
Subject: Re: WSJ piece

That's outrageous. Let's discuss what I should do when I see you tomorrow.

From: McHale, Judith A [mailto:McHaleJA@state.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2011 01:18 PM
To: Posner, Michael H <PosnerMH@state.gov>; Baer, Daniel B <BaerDB@state.gov>
Subject: FW: WSJ piece

FYI, response from Walter.

Judith A. McHale
 Under Secretary for Public Diplomacy and Public Affairs
 U.S. Department of State
 Washington, D.C.

From: Isaacson, Walter [mailto:]
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2011 1:14 PM
To: McHale, Judith A
Subject: Re: WSJ piece

B6

I agree. I had not seen the article, but I just went and found it. Clearly, it's not favorable from either the VoA or DOS perspective. It's mainly an attack on the VoA decision to shift resources from China shortwave. I will stress publicly and privately that we are all working toward the same goal. I said this at the meeting last week. And privately I will emphasize that any further sniping will make me very, very upset. I truly do admire what State, from the Secretary to the folks at DRL, is doing on this front, and will say so vigorously. (I'm sure Ensor will say this as well.) Thanks for bringing this to my attention.

FYI:
 Walter:

From: McHale, Judith A
To: Isaacson, Walter
Sent: Mon Apr 18 12:42:53 2011
Subject: WSJ piece
 Walter:

Sorry I was not able to be at the meeting last week.

I just read the WSJ piece and I have to say that I find the continued attacks upon DoS with respect to internet circumvention very troubling. We have said on many occasions that we strongly support BBG's efforts to obtain additional funding for circumvention tools. However, I worry that the seemingly endless attempts to undermine what DoS is doing in this critical area will impede the efforts you and I are making to bring our two organizations closer together. I was particularly troubled by the following quote from the WSJ piece:

"Some of the dysfunction in State Department priorities is structural. VOA and the other broadcasting services were independently run by the U.S. Information Agency from the 1950s until the early 1990s, when for the brief

"end of history" moment after the Cold War it seemed these efforts were no longer as important. USIA was disbanded, but the diplomats of the State Department have little interest in upsetting other countries by defending broadcasting or circumvention efforts."

As you know, the Secretary has been very forceful in her defense of internet freedom and international broadcasting. She has spoken publically on this issue a number of times and all of us have raised it, and will continue to raise it, in our meetings with government representatives at the highest level. I would greatly appreciate your assistance in working with me on this and in ensuring that our fellow governors and respective staffs are on board as well.

Thanks.

Judith

Judith A. McHale
Under Secretary for Public Diplomacy and Public Affairs
U.S. Department of State
Washington, D.C.