

**RELEASE IN PART**  
**B6**

---

**From:** Anne-Marie Slaughter [redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 1, 2011 11:53 AM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** RE: gorgeous pic on the front page of the NYT!

B6

B/c sending more arms into a society generally – particularly when they are as disorganized and fragmented as they are – will result in more violence – against each other. Boys like to play with guns (trust me as the mother of sons). I am all for saying we have no objection to French doing it to increase pressure on Gaddafi, but in a tribal society where conflicts have been repressed for so long, adding even more weapons does not make sense. Obviously they can get weapons from other places, but nb that they are not awash in weapons now. I wrote something for New York Review of Books this week that builds on my farewell remarks and I think you will like it; will send it to you for weekend reading.

**From:** H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 01, 2011 11:18 AM  
**To:** 'slaught' [redacted]  
**Subject:** Re: gorgeous pic on the front page of the NYT!

B6

Why are you dubious?

**From:** Anne-Marie Slaughter [mailto:[redacted]]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 30, 2011 08:37 AM  
**To:** H  
**Cc:** Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>  
**Subject:** gorgeous pic on the front page of the NYT!

B6

One for the wall...

For what it's worth, I am VERY dubious about arming the Libyan rebels. Our best bet is to keep pressure on BOTH sides to force a diplo solution. AMS