

RELEASE IN PART B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2010 3:19 PM
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Re: S-Ashton Update

Are you still in basketball-crazed Indianoplace?

Anything to report? I'm on the way

B5

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Apr 05 14:56:55 2010
Subject: RE: S-Ashton Update

I think we're fine on this.

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, April 03, 2010 5:14 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: S-Ashton Update

I did not mention this because not sure how I felt about it, but if all agree it would help, I will call her.

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Tue Mar 30 12:53:19 2010
Subject: Fw: S-Ashton Update

Fyi

From: Mull, Stephen D
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Montgomery, Molly C; Baxter, Shawn; Lang, Alan; Waller, Robert P; P-NEA Duty; Waser, Tamir G
Sent: Tue Mar 30 12:45:10 2010
Subject: S-Ashton Update

Hi Jake,

You'll see that the Secretary's points for Ashton mention the idea of our sending an inter-agency expert team to Brussels for the next few months to improve US-EU coordination on Iran sanctions prep. EU officials had been receptive, but

now the bureaucrats are saying this would be "counter-productive", and instead prefer more regular videoconferences. Which is probably OK. Anyway, I just wanted you to know the background, in case it comes up in the Ashton meeting.

Steve