

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Ebeling, Betsy <[redacted]>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 9:38 AM
To: H
Subject: RE:

The bill would most likely have had a different outcome if you had still been in the Senate. Oh well, we need you desperately where you are, tired but not downtrodden. Rahm is being challenged on his residency. Law state he is supposed to have lived in Chicago one year prior to the election. Interesting wrinkle. As you know, we loved having Lilly here and feel her pain even more intensely with this latest defeat. [redacted]

[redacted] Very cold today. How is Lisbon? Always loved that city when I lived in Madrid. In 1968 it felt some 20 years behind Madrid--sort of Milwaukee to Chicago. [redacted] By the way, loved your Australian (I think?) interview. Safe travels. Love you, Nancy

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 7:52 PM
To: Ebeling, Betsy
Subject: Re:

Regrettable all the way around.

And, how are you? [redacted]

I saw Lily Ledbetter last night who told me she what a great time she had w you in Chi town.

And, how's Rahm doing?

I'm in Lisbon [redacted] Love, Gert

----- Original Message -----

From: Ebeling, Betsy <[redacted]>
To: H; Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>
Sent: Wed Nov 17 12:33:58 2010
Subject:

Senate Republicans Filibuster Fair Pay For Women

Matt Finkelstein

The first bill President Obama signed after taking office was the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act <<http://politicalcorrection.org/rd?to=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.nytimes.com%2F2009%2F01%2F30%2Fus%2Fpolitics%2F30ledbetter-web.html>>, which made it easier for women to seek justice for pay discrimination. At the time, Sens. Susan Collins (R-ME), Kay Bailey Hutchison (R-TX) and Olympia Snowe (R-ME) joined <http://politicalcorrection.org/rd?to=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.senate.gov%2Flegislative%2Fflis%2Froll_call_lists%2Froll_call_vote_cfm.cfm%3Fcongress%3D111%26session%3D1%26vote%3D00014> with Democrats to overcome strong Republican opposition to the bill.

But today, all three Republican senators voted against a motion to proceed on the Paycheck Fairness Act, a bill that "would further strengthen current laws

<http://politicalcorrection.org/rd?to=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.nytimes.com%2F2009%2F01%2F28%2Fopinion%2F28wed3.html%3F_r%3D2> against gender-based wage discrimination." The motion was defeated by a vote of 58-41, falling two votes short of the 60 needed for the bill to be considered on the Senate floor.

This is not the first time the Paycheck Fairness Act has stalled in the Senate. In 2008, the upper chamber failed to vote on the bill after it was approved by the House, which passed the legislation again in 2009 with the support of 10 Republicans <<http://politicalcorrection.org/rd?to=http%3A%2F%2Fclerk.house.gov%2Fevs%2F2009%2Froll008.xml>> .

Women earn barely three-quarters of what their male counterparts make

<<http://politicalcorrection.org/rd?to=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.pay-equity.org%2Fpdfs%2FPFA-FactSheet-2010.pdf>> for the same work, but conservatives have invented a number of ludicrous reasons for opposing equal pay legislation. For example, the Heritage Foundation has suggested that equal pay laws actually hurt women

<<http://politicalcorrection.org/rd?to=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.heritage.org%2Fresearch%2Freports%2F2009%2F01%2Fthe-ledbetter-act-sacrificing-justice-for-fair-pay>> because businesses simply won't hire them if they are required to pay them fair wages. And Sen. John McCain (R-AZ) has claimed that women would receive better compensation if they just had more "education and training

<<http://politicalcorrection.org/rd?to=http%3A%2F%2Fthinkprogress.org%2F2008%2F04%2F23%2Fmccain-dismisses-equal-pay-legislation-says-women-need-more-training-and-education%2F>> ."