

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Sunday, May 9, 2010 9:33 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo

To ensure you have support when

B5

I do think it may be OBE; AMS does not think it is.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2010 6:10 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo

I don't understand what it will be used for then?

----- Original Message -----

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sun May 09 17:58:41 2010
Subject: RE: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo

We wrote it.

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2010 5:53 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo

Who wrote this? Is it from DOD?

----- Original Message -----

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; Slaughter, Anne-Marie <SlaughterA@state.gov>; Lew, Jacob J <LewJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Sun May 09 17:01:44 2010
Subject: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo

Attached and below is a memo drafted to address the competing visions of development and in particular, the role of State/USAID. It was drafted before we sent the memo on the PSD.

I'm not certain it still has applicability as a separate memo though providing it to Gates and Mullen to see if they agree and if so, they provide additional support for the vision

B5

After you review, let me know your thoughts on whether and how we should deploy it.

cdm

NON-PAPER

May 9, 2010

TO: Secretary Clinton

SUBJECT: Diplomacy, Development and Interagency Coordination of Foreign Policy

B5

Consider


Implications for

B5

Implications for

Implications for

B5



<<2010-05-9 nonpaper re Gates Vision.docx>>