

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D),B5,B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2010 7:13 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: [redacted]

B5

FYI -- [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: Shapiro, Daniel B. [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 2010 7:08 PM
To: Hale, David M; george [redacted]; Rudman, Mara; Sullivan, Jacob J; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Walles, Jacob; Kumar, Prem G.; Schwartz, Jonathan B
Cc: Waters, John R; Sachar, Alon (NEA/IPA); Reed, Julia G
Subject: [redacted]

B6

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 11/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL
~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 08/03/2030

B5

[Large redacted block]

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

-----Original Message-----

From: Hale, David M [mailto:HaleDM2@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 2010 6:04 AM
To: george [redacted] Rudman, Mara; Sullivan, Jacob J; Shapiro, Daniel B.; Feltman, Jeffrey D; Walles, Jacob; Kumar, Prem G.; Schwartz, Jonathan B
Cc: Waters, John R; Sachar, Alon (NEA/IPA); Reed, Julia G
Subject: [redacted]

B6

1.4(B)
1.4(D)
B1

Please forward as needed.

[redacted]

said we required an agreed date before doing anything else (he prefers later August, but for no stated reason). I also indicated we were considering whether and how to make use of a Quartet approach and undertook to get guidance on his proposal and meet at end of the day (this gives us time to assess the Lavrov call). I made clear there was Moscow language that was appropriate in March but not now and, without agreeing to a Q approach, I said we would not be repeating. He understood and agreed.

If we do not favor the Q approach, [redacted]

Egypt available as venue, but preference for DC and in either case

[Redacted]

B5

[Redacted]

B1
1.4(B)
1.4(D)

Other details in fuller classified report shortly.