

RELEASE IN PART  
B5, B6

**From:** Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 28, 2009 4:50 PM  
**To:** Posner, Michael H; H; cheryl.mills [redacted] daniel.baer [redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J  
**Cc:** preines [redacted] Schwerin, Daniel B  
**Subject:** Re: WPost editorial

B6

[redacted] Dan Schwerin can help with the drafting if you put together some building blocks. PJ

B5

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Posner, Michael H  
**To:** 'H' <HDR22@clintonemail.com>; 'cheryl.mills' [redacted] <cheryl.mills [redacted] 'daniel.baer' [redacted] <daniel.baer [redacted]>; Sullivan, Jacob J  
**Cc:** Crowley, Philip J; 'preines' [redacted]  
**Sent:** Mon Dec 28 11:30:59 2009  
**Subject:** RE: WPost editorial

B6

B5

[redacted]

We will draft a response and get it to you. We are also compiling other commentary -- most of which has been very favorable. In a series of meetings we have had with the democracy and human rights groups, the response has been overwhelmingly positive.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 28, 2009 10:59 AM  
**To:** 'cheryl.mills' [redacted]; Posner, Michael H; 'daniel.baer' [redacted]; Sullivan, Jacob J  
**Cc:** Crowley, Philip J; 'preines' [redacted]  
**Subject:** WPost editorial

B6

I thought the argument they made yesterday about the human rights speech was either deliberately obtuse or clueless. Either way, we need to respond and refute. Can you have a response drafted and sent to me? Also, I'd like to see a summary of points made by other commentary. Thx.