

RELEASE IN PART  
B1,1.4(D), B5

**From:** Abedin, Huma <AbedinH@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 04, 2009 12:10 AM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** Fw: Withdrawal of agrément for the [redacted] Ambassador-designate  
**Attachments:** 004754.pdf  
**Importance:** High

1.4(D)  
B1

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 10/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D) ~  
Declassify on: 09/03/2024

**From:** Beale, Courtney A Kramer  
**To:** Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma; Mills, Cheryl D  
**Cc:** S\_SpecialAssistants; Macmanus, Joseph E  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 03 23:46:36 2009  
**Subject:** Withdrawal of agrément for the [redacted] Ambassador-designate

Cheryl, Jake, and Huma,

B5

[redacted] has just withdrawn agrément for [redacted] Ambassador-designate to the U.S., who is scheduled to arrive tomorrow afternoon. As detailed in the emails below, [redacted]

1.4(D)  
B1

[redacted] The Ambassador-designate departed for Washington before the [redacted] addressed the immunity issues for [redacted], so the withdrawal of agrément will prevent [redacted] from having diplomatic immunity once the Ambassador-designate arrives. Ops is working with the Embassy in [redacted] to notify the [redacted] MFA now. [redacted] signed the attached withdrawal note.

B5

Regards,  
Courtney

**From:** Smith, Daniel B  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 03, 2009 9:05 PM  
**To:** SES\_DutyDeputies; SES\_Assistants  
**Subject:** Fw: Diplomatic Note on Ambassador-Designate  
**Importance:** High

**From:** Boluda, Gladys  
**To:** Smith, Daniel B  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 03 20:57:41 2009  
**Subject:** Fw: Diplomatic Note on Ambassador-Designate

B5

Dan - FYI - Since you sign out the agrément requests and receive the approvals I thought you should be aware of this. I am sorry if I am duplicating [redacted] The USG may need to withdraw agrément approval for the Ambassador-designate of [redacted] since he may have departed [redacted] before a matter involving waiver of immunity for [redacted] has been fully addresses by the [redacted] See emails below.

1.4(D)  
B1

**From:** Fitzgerald, William E  
**To:** Boluda, Gladys; Hahs, Ona M.; McCullough, Dundas C; Malin, Mary Catherine; Arendt, Christie M; Scott, Robert K

**Cc:** Yamamoto, Donald Y; Bando, Jun  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 03 20:39:04 2009  
**Subject:** RE: Diplomatic Note on Ambassador-Designate

Folks—

I spoke with [redacted] to withdraw agrément. It's not a done deal yet, and I'll keep you informed as this moves forward.

B5

Thanks for all of your help.

Best, Bill

William Fitzgerald

Deputy Assistant Secretary

Bureau of African Affairs

Tel: (202) 647-4493

---

**From:** Boluda, Gladys  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 03, 2009 5:30 PM  
**To:** Fitzgerald, William E  
**Subject:** FW: Diplomatic Note on Ambassador-Designate

Sorry left you off the email below.

---

**From:** Boluda, Gladys  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 03, 2009 5:23 PM  
**To:** McAnulty, James P; Scott, Robert K  
**Cc:** Hahs, Ona M.; McCullough, Dundas C; Malin, Mary Catherine; Arendt, Christie M  
**Subject:** RE: Diplomatic Note on Ambassador-Designate

1.4(D)  
B1

Dear All – Protocol has just received a call from [redacted] at the [redacted] Embassy informing us that the Ambassador-designate is due to arrive the U.S tomorrow at 3:40pm. Post must urgently inform the [redacted] MFA that the Ambassador must not travel to the U.S. Protocol is still waiting for a diplomatic note from the MFA with language that was approved by L last night. Earlier note received from MFA is not sufficient. Once new dip note is received and reviewed by all appropriate parties a determination would be made on his travel to WDC.

---

**From:** McAnulty, James P  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 03, 2009 12:02 PM  
**To:** Scott, Robert K  
**Cc:** Hahs, Ona M.; Boluda, Gladys; McCullough, Dundas C; Malin, Mary Catherine; Arendt, Christie M  
**Subject:** Diplomatic Note on Ambassador-Designate  
**Importance:** High

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

1.4(D)  
B1

Rob,

(SBU) Per your request, I am forwarding a copy of the attached diplomatic note that we received today from the [redacted] Foreign Ministry (MFA). The note responds to the demarche that [redacted] and I delivered yesterday and contains text on waiving diplomatic immunity from that demarche.

(SBU) After our telephone conversation, I called MFA Director for North and Central America [redacted] to explain that we needed a follow-up diplomatic note with the more comprehensive text provided by our Legal Advisor's office and forwarded to him after delivery of the demarche. I reiterated that the Ambassador-Designate should not travel to the States until we respond with our concurrence.

Best.

Jim

<< File: [redacted] Dip note.pdf >>