

RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2009 4:21 PM
To: H
Subject: Fw: [redacted]

B6

See b/l re [redacted] - thoughts?

----- Original Message -----

From: Carpenter, Margaret V.W.
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; Toiv, Nora F
Sent: Tue Aug 11 14:21:47 2009
Subject: RE: [redacted]

Huma,

As I mentioned to you both before, [redacted] told me in our interview a couple of weeks ago that she was now only interested in two jobs, both of which are out of reach: [redacted] [redacted] and [redacted] (name in play). I tried to interest her in other things at State and USAID, but she also insists on SES status, which further limits her options given the freeze on SES positions at State. She didn't seem interested in [redacted] at any level.

B5
B6

She left saying that she was very disappointed that she hadn't gotten one of her two top preferences and that maybe she should just stay in her current job, which she loves and which gives her much more money and status than we can offer. Given her original aspirations for an Under or Assistant Secretary position, I can't imagine that she'd be happy as [redacted] for instance, if one were to open up. But let me know if you think she'd be more flexible in the end.

Margaret

-----Original Message-----

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2009 12:52 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Carpenter, Margaret V.W.
Subject: [redacted]

B6

Possible slot in [redacted] for [redacted]
or with [redacted]

What are you guys thinking of for her?