

<b>RELEASE IN PART</b> <b>B6</b>
-------------------------------------

**From:** cheryl.mills [redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 30, 2009 7:24 AM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** Fw: Goodwin

B6

Fyi

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&amp;T

**From:** "Ann O'Leary"  
**Date:** Sun, 29 Mar 2009 22:14:52 -0700  
**To:** Cheryl Mills [redacted]  
**Subject:** Re: Goodwin

Thanks, Cheryl. ♦ Goodwin met with Feinstein this evening and it sounds like it went really well. ♦ It was definitely a very preliminary conversation, but also very good to get the opportunity for Feinstein to meet and get to know Goodwin. ♦ Thank you and HRC again for all of your help!

On Sun, Mar 29, 2009 at 2:46 AM, Cheryl Mills [redacted] wrote:

Feinstein promised hrc to meet with him and that she would give him primary consideration.

She knows he is imp to hrc and that hrc thinks he us her kind of scholar and judge.

On note - if hand written, send to my home and I will hand to her; if electronic - same, email to me and I will hand to her

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ann O'Leary [redacted]  
**To:** Cheryl Mills  
**CC:** Cheryl Mills1  
**Sent:** Sun Mar 29 01:41:11 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Goodwin

Hi Cheryl -

[redacted] came back to several messages from Feinstein's office wanting to set up a meeting with Goodwin. ♦ Sounds like HRC must have spoken with her. ♦ Feinstein wants to meet Goodwin tomorrow evening.

Anything we should know before the meeting?

Thanks again for everything! ♦ Also, Goodwin wants to write a thank you note to HRC. ♦ Where can he send it so that it gets to her?

xo,  
Ann

On Fri, Mar 20, 2009 at 3:02 AM, Cheryl Mills [redacted] wrote:

◆◆◆◆◆ thanks ◆ gone to her to make calls today

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ From: Ann O'Leary [redacted]  
◆◆◆◆◆ Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 1:46 AM  
◆◆◆◆◆ To: Cheryl Mills  
◆◆◆◆◆ Cc: <jlichtmar@redacted>; Cheryl Mills1  
◆◆◆◆◆ Subject: Re: Goodwin

B6

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ Thanks, Cheryl. ◆ I'm attaching two different sets of talking points - one for Greg Craig, stressing Goodwin's progressive and activist credentials - and the other for Feinstein, stressing his moderation. ◆ We have heard that Feinstein needs assurance that Goodwin isn't too liberal.

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ Thanks again for helping in this very major way. ◆ [redacted] appreciate it and know how much is on your plate and HRC's....so thank you, thank you, thank you!

◆◆◆◆◆  
◆◆◆◆◆  
◆◆◆◆◆  
◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ On Thu, Mar 19, 2009 at 5:05 PM, AnnMOLeary [redacted]

◆◆◆◆◆ More of a liberal? I'll do two sets and send it back tonight.

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ Sent from my iPhone

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ On Mar 19, 2009, at 4:57 PM, "Cheryl Mills" [redacted] wrote:

◆◆◆◆◆ Greg is an more of an ideologue

◆◆◆◆◆ -----Original Message-----

◆◆◆◆◆ From: Judy Lichtman

◆◆◆◆◆ To: Cheryl Mills

◆◆◆◆◆ To: Ann O'Leary

◆◆◆◆◆ To: Cheryl Mills

◆◆◆◆◆ Sent: Mar 19, 2009 7:18 PM

◆◆◆◆◆ Subject: Re: Goodwin

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ What do you think about using the lead sentences in each point only--deleting the narrative

◆◆◆◆◆ Or is the problem more fundamental?

◆◆◆◆◆ Then I need a little help about what would go to the heart of greg's interests

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

◆◆◆◆◆ To: annmoleary [redacted]; Cheryl Mills1

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ Cc: Judy Lichtman

◆◆◆◆◆ Sent: Thu Mar 19 19:14:35 2009

◆◆◆◆◆ Subject: Re: Goodwin

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆

◆◆◆◆◆ Here is my fear about these points - they are likely to repel rather than compel greg; they will

