

**RELEASE IN FULL**

---

**From:** H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 26, 2009 7:38 AM  
**To:** 'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'jonespw2@state.gov'  
**Subject:** Re: AfPak papers

Thanks so much. I don't know what the NSC has or will read so we need to think of this submission as representing our views for historical purposes.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>  
**To:** H; Jones, Paul W <JonesPW2@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Mon Oct 26 07:33:09 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AfPak papers

We are meeting this morning to do a final scrub of the package, and we'll address this.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>  
**To:** Jones, Paul W; Sullivan, Jacob J  
**Sent:** Mon Oct 26 07:25:17 2009  
**Subject:** AfPak papers

There's a lot of good work in these papers and I thank everyone who helped. I have the following questions:

Why is there overlap btw what we sent over to the NSC already and what we have compiled into the larger presentation? By my review, Tabs 1, 3, and 6 are in both packages. If we want to include these in both, we need an annotated index that lists all the papers not just the main tabs.