

RELEASE IN PART  
B5, B6

**From:** H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 16, 2009 11:28 PM  
**To:** 'crowleypj@state.gov'; 'cheryl.mills [redacted]'; 'vermarr@state.gov'  
**Subject:** Re: Scott Gration

B6

Agreed we should defer [redacted]

B5

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Crowley, Philip J <CrowleyPJ@state.gov>  
**To:** H; cheryl.mills [redacted]; Verma, Richard R <VermaRR@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Fri Oct 16 23:22:25 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Scott Gration

B6

[redacted]

B5

[redacted] If you look at the Post story, it has a key phrase in there about Gration having to back off his view of Darfur, which gives me a decent idea where the leak came from. This complicates Rich's world because questions will arise with members well ahead of what we discussed today.

[redacted] PJ

----- Original Message -----

**From:** H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>  
**To:** 'cheryl.mills [redacted]'; Verma, Richard R; Crowley, Philip J  
**Sent:** Fri Oct 16 22:59:06 2009  
**Subject:** Scott Gration

B6

Did you know the NYTimes has an interview w Scott for tomorrow in which he lays out the policy? How does this affect our plan for Monday?