

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 12:46 PM
To: 'ntanden [redacted]
Subject: Re: roller coaster

B6

But the "system" let the Blues go public. What's to prevent the co-ops from incorporating down the road? The return of non-profits would have to require no changes.

----- Original Message -----

From: Neera Tanden [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 08 12:40:19 2009
Subject: Re: roller coaster

The problem is that Baucus circulated his plan Saturday morning, and his plan has included coops literally for months. He started circulating paper that got to us Sunday. It's hard to move Baucus on anything new at this point, especially now that we're back to the hands off approach. And really, people are worried about non-profit insurance companies being strong enough. After all, the old Blues became the current Blues - that has been a concern about coops too; that is why the left has argued for a stronger government role.

But look our strategy just went 180 degrees in the course of 12 hours, so it could switch up again.

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: "ntanden [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 10:52:18 AM
Subject: Re: roller coaster

Why don't they go w non-profit insurance companies instead of cooperatives like the Old Blues?

----- Original Message -----

From: Neera Tanden [redacted]
To: H
Sent: Tue Sep 08 10:30:53 2009
Subject: roller coaster

So after being prepared to put out very long press paper, with a fully drafted bill (though still needing work) waiting in the wings, the strategy has utterly shifted to going back to discussing health care at 30,000 feet tomorrow evening because Baucus is moving. He will announce tomorrow he is marking up next week. They are thinking their push has made him move so now it's counterproductive to lay out very specific details. The speech is being totally redrafted, and I think we may just end up putting out a one-pager.

The Baucus news is close hold; as is, of course, all of this.

B5