

RELEASE IN  
PART B5,B6

**From:** H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 14, 2009 7:53 PM  
**To:** 'ntanden [redacted]  
**Subject:** Re: Three items

Thanks for following thru w Hymen et al. I believe it can make a real difference. No the hard part starts and so much depends on what the WH will do to shape the Finance Comm product. I have every finger crossed. As you would say, GO FIGHT WIN!

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Neera Tanden [redacted]  
**To:** H  
**Sent:** Sat Jun 13 00:07:49 2009  
**Subject:** Three items

B6

B5

2. I grow increasingly nervous about health care. The Hill is completely unprepared for the attacks that will come, and the White House has not remotely geared up for the fight. It's very odd. And the internal machinations are almost as bad as they were in January.

3. This went up today; I'm told it's going to be in the magazine, but who knows. I wish I could have gotten it wider (and w/ a slightly more critical spin), but I know Kennedy's office is a religious reader of Jonathan Cohn. At least it's noted for history.

[http://blogs.tnr.com/tnr/blogs/the\\_treatment/archive/2009/06/12/what-s-in-a-name.aspx](http://blogs.tnr.com/tnr/blogs/the_treatment/archive/2009/06/12/what-s-in-a-name.aspx)

What's In a Name?

<<http://media.canada.com/24be34eb-ecfe-4969-88c2-5aa898db4139/Hillary3.jpg>>

For the last two weeks, draft versions of health reform from the Senate Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions (HELP) Committee have been finding their way into the press. It's hard to be sure about who's been leaking them--or why. But they do reveal one amusing, if not entirely consequential, evolution in the committee's thinking.

Last week, when Robert Pear of the New York Times obtained what was then a current draft, he noted that the bill's title was the "American Health Choices Act

<<http://www.nytimes.com/2009/06/06/health/policy/06health.html?scp=1&sq=robert%20pear%20kennedy%20health%20legislation&st=cse>> ." But when HELP finally released a version of the bill a few days ago, the title had changed to the "Affordable Health Choices Act <[http://blogs.tnr.com/tnr/blogs/the\\_treatment/archive/2009/06/09/breaking-kennedy-help-bill-yes-bill-is-out.aspx](http://blogs.tnr.com/tnr/blogs/the_treatment/archive/2009/06/09/breaking-kennedy-help-bill-yes-bill-is-out.aspx)> ."

Why the difference? Here's a guess. It turns out somebody else had already used "American Health Choices" as the name of a reform proposal. It was Hillary Clinton

<<http://www.ahia.net/about/documents/0709americanhealthchoicesplan.pdf>> , during the 2008 presidential campaign.

It's not clear whether Kennedy and his staff simply wanted to seem original or if they were looking to avoid association with Clinton for some reason, perhaps fearing comparisons to 1994. If it's the latter, though, that's a shame. As I've written before, Clinton's '08 health plan was the best of the bunch

<<http://www.tnr.com/columnists/story.html?id=2cadab81-1ac8-4f8a-8047-5cb2d7f28c0a&p=2>> . And her 1994 plan, despite what you may have heard, was even better

<[http://jamesfallows.theatlantic.com/archives/2007/05/good\\_article\\_hillary\\_clinton\\_w.php#more](http://jamesfallows.theatlantic.com/archives/2007/05/good_article_hillary_clinton_w.php#more)> .

--Jonathan Cohn

Hope you're well Hillary. Great article in USA Today. I wish I told you the Richard Wolffe/Ben Smith story when I talked to you this week; it's a keeper.

- Neera